

<i>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</i>	<table border="1" style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <tr> <td style="width: 50%; padding: 2px;">Application No. 10/576,267</td> <td style="width: 50%; padding: 2px;">Applicant(s) MOUSSY ET AL</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="padding: 2px;">Examiner Golam M. M. Shameem</td> <td style="padding: 2px;">Art Unit 1626</td> </tr> </table>	Application No. 10/576,267	Applicant(s) MOUSSY ET AL	Examiner Golam M. M. Shameem	Art Unit 1626
Application No. 10/576,267	Applicant(s) MOUSSY ET AL				
Examiner Golam M. M. Shameem	Art Unit 1626				

All Participants:

(1) Golam M. M. Shameem.

(2) Rouget F. Henschel.

Date of Interview: 18 December 2009

Type of Interview:
☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No
If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:
All pending claims

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
A telephone call was made to discuss the possibility of rejoinder of method of use claims. Applicant has agreed to cancel claims 23, 24 and 26.

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Golam M. M. Shameem/
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1626

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)